

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

**GROVER SELLERS** ATTORNEY GENERAL

Modefie ly M-625 where

Henerable Claude Isbell Secretary of State Austin 11, Texas

Dear Sirt

Opinion We. 0-6582

Secretary of State not kutherized to submit proppsed Constitutional amendments for publication to owner of news-paper who is also legislator, in view of Section 18, Art. 3, Constitution of Texas, This prehibitien also applies to corporation newspaper, in which legislater is a stockholder.

We have received your recent pequest for an opinion of this department, quoted an felleys:

"Section 18 of Article #3 of the Constitution of Texas prevides in the last sentence of that section the fellowing:\

"!Nor shall any member of the Legislature be interested, either directly or indirectly, in any centract with the State, or any county thereof, authorized by any law passed during the term for which he shall have been elected.

"In view of the above would this office be authorized to submit constitutional amondment's passed during this session of the Legislature to these newspapers for publication that are owned and operated by a person whe is a member of this session of the Legislature? Also, would a newspaper be prohibited from publishing such amendments by reason of the fact that a member of the Legislature at which these amendments were submitted might own stock in such newspaper? . . .

Jonorable Claude Isbell, page 2

Section 1 of Article 17 of the Texas Constitution proides that such "proposed amendments shall be duly published once week for four weeks, commencing at least three months before an lection, the time of which shall be specified by the Legislature, n one weekly newspaper of each county, in which such a newspaper ny be published."

Heretofore the cost of this type of publication has been aid from appropriations made by the Legislature for that specific arpose. We assume the Forty-ninth Legislature will make a simi-ar appropriation to pay the costs of publishing the amendments roposed by them at this session.

In 1922 Opinion No. 2411 was approved and released from its office by the late Honorable W. A. Keeling, who then was storney General of Texas. We quote from said opinion as follows:

". . . A person who was a member of the Legislature at the time of the enactment of what is known as the State Highway Commission Law could not lawfully make a contract with a county for road construction work involving funds awarded to such county by the State Highway Commission. We think also that a person who was a member of the State Senate at the time of the enactment of the law appropriating registration fees to the State Highway Commission could not lawfully contract with a county where funds appropriated by such act are to be expended. An appropriation act is, of course, a lay, See Section 6, Article 8, State Constitution. County contracts of the kind here under consideration could not be made if the statute creating the State Highway Commission and providing for automobile registration fees had not been enacted. Neither could such contracts be made unless the Legislature had appropriated the State Highway Funds. We think it clear, therefore, that a contract of this kind is to be considered as having been 'authorized' by the State Highway Commission statutes and the appropriation act above referred to.

Therefore, it is our opinion that a contract between state of Texas and a member of the Legislature for the publing by such member of the Legislature of such proposed constitutional amendments is prohibited by said Section 18 of Article 5 the Constitution of Texas, for the reason that the payment to Legislator-publisher for services rendered under such contract 1d be by authority of an appropriation law passed during the term which such Legislator-publisher shall have been elected to the Islature.

Honorable Claude Isbell, page 3

Furthermore it is our opinion that said Section 18 of Article 3 of the Constitution of Texas also prohibits a contract between the State of Texas and a corporation in which a member of the Legislature holds stock, for the purposes herein proposed, because of the principles of law expressed in the following authorities:

In Volume 10, Tex. Jur., pp. 781-782 appears the fellewing:

"Certainly there is ample authority for the view that the stockholders have a beneficial interest in the cerperate preperty. As has been well said, the interest of a stockholder in the capital fund has the characteristics of: (a) indirection; (b) subordination to that of the creditors; and (c) sequent centingency of realization in the form of profits or return of (or reimbursement for) his centribution." (Underscoring added for emphasis)

In the case of Hebbs, Wall & Co. v. Moren, District Court of Appeals, Third District, California, 293 Pac. 145, the purchase of supplies for a city from a corporation, the manager of which was also City Councilman, was illegal because the Councilman was "indirectly interested" in view of a statute prohibiting an efficer of the city from being interested, directly or indirectly, in any contract with such city. We quote from said case as fellows:

"When it appears that an efficer is substantially benefited, financially or etherwise, by his participation in a contract with the municipality which he represents, the transaction is invariably declared to be illegal. Under such circumstances, in its effort to upheld the transaction, a court will not resert to fine distinctions in order to determine just what facts will constitute an 'indirect interest' on the part of the efficer." (Underscoring added for emphasis)

In view of the foregoing, we do not believe the Secretary of State to be authorized to submit for publication constitutional amendments proposed at this session of the Legislature to those newspapers that are ewned and operated by, or, if a corporation, in which stock is held by, a person who is a member

Henerable Claude Isbell, page 4

of this session of the Legislature.

We herewith enclose a copy of said Opinion No. 2411.

Yours very truly,

ATTORNEY CENERAL OF TEXAS

Rebert L. Lattimer

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

RLL/JCP Enclesure-1

> APPROVEI OPINION COMMITTEI BY STATEMAN